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Purpose

The purpose of this project is to design a water distillation system that can purify water from nearly any source, a system that is relatively cheap, portable, and depends only on renewable solar energy. From the results of project calculations a truthful estimate was made to prototype the most effective geometries of the distiller and trough concentration system, one that will maximize evaporation/condensation and re capture waste heat to minimize thermal losses.

Abstract

The motivation for this project is the limited availability of clean water resources and the abundance of impure water available for potential conversion into potable water. Our project goal is to efficiently produce clean drinkable water from solar energy conversion. To achieve this goal, a system was designed incorporating a parabolic solar trough coupled with a custom designed distillation device. The incoming solar radiation from the sun is focused and concentrated onto a receiver pipe using a parabolic trough, heating the incoming impure water, at which point it is sprayed into our custom designed distillation device where it evaporates and is re-condensed into pure potable water. Future goals for this project include calculation refinement, material research/testing, and fabrication.

Introduction and Background

Motivation and Importance

About 70% of the planet is covered in water, yet of all of that, only around 2% is fresh water, and of that 2%, about 1.6% is locked up in polar ice caps and glaciers. So of all of the earth’s water, 98% is saltwater, 1.6% is polar ice caps and glaciers, and 0.4% is drinkable water from underground wells or rivers and streams. And despite the amazing amount of technological progress and advancement that the current world we live in has undergone, roughly 1 billion people, or 14.7% of the earth’s population, still do not have access to clean, safe drinkable water. A few of the negative results of this water crisis are:

- Inadequate access to water for sanitation and waste disposal
- Groundwater over drafting (excessive use) leading to diminished agricultural yields
- Overuse and pollution of the available water resources harming biodiversity
- Regional conflicts over scarce water resources

In addition to these problems, according to WaterPartners International, waterborne diseases and the absence of sanitary domestic water is one of the leading causes of death worldwide. For children less than 5 years old, waterborne disease is THE leading cause
of death, and at any given moment, roughly half of all hospital beds are filled with patients suffering from water-related diseases. Clearly, having affordable potable water readily available to everyone is an important and pressing issue facing the world today.

**Needs and Specifications**

Our project centers on converting the roughly 99.6% of water that is, in its natural form, undrinkable, into clean and usable water. After researching and investigation, we outlined our needs to be the following:

- Efficiently produce at 2 gallons of potable water per day minimum
- Able to purify water from virtually any source, included the ocean
- Relatively inexpensive to remain accessible to a wide range of audiences
- Easy to use interface
- Intuitive setup and operation
- Provide clean useful drinking water without the need for an external energy source
- Reasonably compact and portable

Our aim is to accomplish this goal by utilizing and converting the incoming radioactive power of the sun's rays to heat and distill dirty and undrinkable water, converting it into clean drinkable water. A solar parabolic trough is utilized to effectively concentrate and increase the solid angle of incoming beam radiation, increasing the efficiency of the system and enabling higher water temperatures to be achieved.

**Literature Review**

For a complete summary of the various literatures used and considered during the planning and research portions of our project can be found in Appendix A.

**Articles/Research Papers/Documents**


- Useful data on amount of solar energy received on a surface as well as methods of calculating solar energy required for solar distillation


- Information related to application of solar troughs in use of water treatment
- Very similar to our project design specification

Benchmark: Florida Solar Energy Center utilizes a solar trough with concentric copper pipes for heat exchange
- 92 sq. ft concentrator
- Capable of producing up to 660 gal/day
- Cost: $1,680 w/o the cost of pumps and reservoirs


- Helpful study containing equations and charts for calculating thermal efficiency, collector acceptance angle, incident angle modifier, and thermal losses


- Useful and practical design methods for making a single solar collector
- Relevant materials used in making of small scale collectors

Parabolic Trough Collector Overview. 2007. Dr. David W. Kearney.

- Information pertaining to current large scale parabolic trough installations
- Benchmark for geometry, material, orientation of troughs
- Approximate cost of trough material costs


- Technical report containing research on the performance of various types of parabolic trough receiver surface coatings and their applications
- Information on the effect on efficiency of various surface texturing methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Loss</th>
<th>Open trough</th>
<th>Clouded trough</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conv. radiation loss</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>Open trough has no cover. Clouded trough has a cover with reflective interior.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass velocity</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>Equal quality mirrors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass collector loss</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>Equal quality glass, thermal insulation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔW</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>Equal quality provision required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receiver absorptivity</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>Equal quality receiver surface.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incidence angle on effect</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>Open trough's thermal band is about 0.6. Clouded trough is optimally tilted with south axis, collecting angle is fixed, 1 or 2 times a year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hot and jacket loss</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>Open trough has meloxic and less no convection-heating elements, no such losses in clouded trough.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass-side multiple pass</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>A small amount of light enters several times through the glass tube. This is slightly more important for the clouded trough due to a glass tube of larger diameter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dirtloss</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>Option in open trough; 1 times through with concave edges. Only verified for clouded trough.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss in over-tracking</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>Clouded trough eliminates a move caused error due to error divergence, prevents the error cost, as to avoid load loss and piping cost.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiative capacity</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>The loss is due to a composite simulation taking into account the atmospheric attenuation with the beam angle.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency before thermal loss</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>Efficiency before thermal loss is defined as the ratio of the thermal energy per unit area. The corresponding efficiency is less than overall or clouded throughput. The efficiency is 0.68 w/M2K or 0.2W/K for a temperature elevation of 50°C. Assuming an average collection of 2.5 W/m2 (per period of latitude), the loss represents 7%.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency after thermal loss</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>Efficiency after thermal loss is defined as the ratio of the thermal energy per unit area. The corresponding efficiency is less than overall or clouded throughput. The efficiency is 0.68 w/M2K or 0.2W/K for a temperature elevation of 50°C. Assuming an average collection of 2.5 W/m2 (per period of latitude), the loss represents 7%.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean temperature difference</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>Average temperature increase of the absorber and 98% of the maximum for both cases.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total efficiency</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>No to the efficiency calculation due to direct solar insolation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.: Parabolic trough efficiency comparison
Table 2. Solar Water Heating System Types: Pros and Cons.

**CAD Models**

The distillation geometry was chosen to maximize the amount of condensate (potable water) that can be extracted from the system. The cylinder design was to maximize surface area for evaporation yet provides some flexibility when accounting for heat losses. The cone heat exchanger above the condensing surface is to provide a surface heat exchanger that will preheat the incoming cool dirty water by cooling the hot condensing surface, which helps keep the condensation rate inside the distiller effective.
Figure 1. Distiller.

Figure 2. Distiller front sectional view.
As shown in Figure 3 a sloped lip coincides with the inner wall of the distiller at a slight angle to allow for condensate to effectively drain into a collection pipe.

In order to try and maximize the evaporation inside the distiller a spray nozzle was proposed to increase the surface area per droplet of water/water vapor entering the distiller from the trough receiver heat pipe.

The bottom surface of the distiller is sloped to allow for brine (waste) water to drain out at a controlled rate with a control valve.
One obstacle that the project has is the film thickness and distribution of the incoming heat exchange fluid over the cone shaped condensing surface. Surface tension makes water difficult to work with in this geometry. As a possible solution the use of grooves carved into the heat exchange side of the condensing surface to allow channels for the water to flow thus allowing for constant even distribution of cooling or the use of a fabric to distribute the water over the surface were proposed.

**Calculations and Interpretations**

The data presented is based on simulations, modeling, approximations, and theoretical calculation of a chosen trough/distillation system for San Diego, Ca (latitude 32 deg N). This data will then be used to design a final parabolic trough distillation system.

For simplification we assumed the trough to have a horizontal axis, because it allows for a more conservative approximation, however we plan to angle the trough collector at an angle equal to the regions latitude, which will increase the average energy gain per meter.

**Available Energy**

There are many ways to measure the available radiation from the sun. Most commonly used is a pyranometer, which measures diffuse plus beam radiation from the sky and sun. These devices measure absorbed radiation by detecting the temperature difference between two concentric silvered rings, one coated in magnesium oxide and the other Parson’s black [1].

---

**Figure 4. Trough and distiller simple assembly. To be added; support structure, pump, PV panel, piping, and storage tanks.**
Solar insolation is defined as average intensity (radiation per solid angle) or the measure of solar radiation received on a surface at some time. Average insolation on the Earth’s surface is approximated to be 250 W/m² or 6 kWh/m²/day.

- 1 kWh/m²/day = 1,000 W * 1 hour / (1 m² * 24 hours) = 41.67 W/m²

Measurements of available solar energy were made with a pyranometer located at San Diego State University’s field station. Measurements were recorded every 15 minutes for 15 days; see Figure 1.

![Figure 5. Solar radiation data taken from SDSU field station [2].](image1)

Since pyranometer’s measure diffuse and beam radiation, more information was required because concentrating collectors can utilize only beam radiation. For this reason additional data was acquired for San Diego (latitude 32 deg N) from an online Redbook posted by the National Renewable Energy Laboratory. The data presented is organized exclusively into beam radiation for concentrating collectors for various axis/tracking configurations, as shown in Figure 2.

![Figure 6. Data acquired from National Renewable Energy Laboratory redbook [3].](image2)
With the data presented an average daily insolation of 522 W/m² was used for the system design calculations.

**Trough Geometry**

The amount of solar radiation collected is highly dependant on the geometry of the trough, which acts as our aperture for solar collection. This can be observed with the concentration ratio, $C$ [1];

$$C = \frac{A_a}{A_r}$$

The aperture area is directly proportional to the concentration ratio. This means that the higher the concentration ratio the higher the temperatures that can be reached. This is because the number of images, formed by the reflection of sunlight, seen by the receiver pipe will increase. However, the objective of this system is to heat water to vaporization, and not to produce high quality steam; therefore a medium concentration ratio is used. The chosen system produces a concentration ratio of about 12.

For the trough analysis first a basic shape was introduced as follows,

```
Diameter = 25 in
Focal length = 19.5 in
Depth = 2 in
f/a = 19.5 in / 25 in = 0.78
```

Now, analysis of the solar image size and ultimately ideal receiver diameters are done. This analysis is to maximize the amount of radiation the receiver pipe can absorb.

Some key terms include the rim radius and rim angle which directly correlate to aperture size, thus a larger rim radius and smaller rim angle will maximize solar collection. However, the larger the rim radius the larger the focal length will be, and the larger the image will be (means a larger receiver pipe will be needed and thus thermal losses increase).
The rim radius and rim angle are found as follows:

$$r_r = \frac{2f}{1 + \cos \phi_r}$$ \hspace{1cm} (2)

Where, \(f\) is the focal length and \(\phi_r\) is the rim angle and is found with the graph in Figure 8. With this information the ideal receiver pipe diameter can be found, one that will capture the entire solar image.

$$D = 2r_r \sin(0.267 + \delta/2)$$ \hspace{1cm} (3)

Where, \(r_r\) is the rim radius, and \(\delta\) is the dispersion angle which is used to correct for trough imperfections and should be acquired by the trough manufacturer or looked up in a table. Ultimately the best trough geometry was chosen, as follows:

- Diameter = 25 in of aperture
- 19.5 in focal length
- 21.5 in rim radius
- 2 in depth
- Rim angle = 35.5 degrees
- Trough length = 1.3 m = 4.27 ft
- \(A_{aperature} = 0.7826 \text{ m}^2\)
Thermal Performance of Receiver/Cover System

Due to the nature of thermal processes the temperature gradients created by the receiver pipe will generate radiative heat loss to the ambient and sky. In addition, heat is lost by conduction through the support structure. Also, there are convective losses to the ambient from wind as well as the convective losses between the cover and receiver pipes. As a general rule of thumb as the temperature of the receiver increases the heat lost will directly increase as well, this analysis is done as follows.

Energy Balance

When analyzing heat lost in a system one first relates incoming energy to outgoing energy into an energy balance [5]. The incoming radiation is from the sun and is as follows,

\[ Q_{in} = Q_{solar,1} + Q_{solar,2} \]  

Where, \( Q_{solar,1} \) is the incoming solar insolation and \( Q_{solar,2} \) is the solar radiation that is transmitted through the Pyrex cover, which absorbs some incoming radiation. Equation 4 shows the heat lost or outgoing heat.

\[ Q_{loss,tot} = Q_{rad} + Q_{conv} + Q_{rad,sky} + Q_{conv,wind} + Q_{cond,sup} \]  

In the analysis of the overall system the heat lost to the supports through conduction is assumed to be 0. Furthermore the space between the receiver and cover was assumed to be evacuated therefore convective heat losses from the receiver to the cover would be neglected as well. Furthermore, all surfaces are assumed to be smooth.

Convective Wind Losses
This section evaluates heat lost by the cover system to the ambient. This convective loss will depend on the flow pattern across the cover system, turbulent or laminar. Reynolds number directly relates the flow pattern to the wind speed (Equation 7); therefore the convective loss to the wind is variable and always fluctuating. For the purposes of analysis a wind speed of 3.58 m/s (8 mph) was chosen. This number was chosen because ASHRAE testing standards call for analysis to be done with wind speeds between 5-10 mph. Heat loss will also depend on temperature of the cover system thus an evaluation temperature (Equation 6) is used to evaluate the thermal properties of air.

\[
T_{\text{evaluation}} = \frac{(T_r + T_a)}{2}
\]

Where, \(T_r\) is the receiver temperature and \(T_a\) is the ambient temperature (19 C) both in degrees C. Now the flow pattern across the cover is analyzed as follows.

\[
Re = \frac{\rho V D_{co}}{\mu}
\]

Where, \(Re\) is the Reynolds number (if \(Re>1000\) then flow = turbulent), \(\rho\) is the density of air at \(T_{\text{evaluation}}\), \(V\) is the wind speed in m/s, \(D_{co}\) is the outer diameter of the cover, and \(\mu\) is the kinematic viscosity of air at \(T_{\text{evaluation}}\). \(Re\) for the chosen system yielded a number \(1000<Re<50000\), thus the Nusselt number and ultimately the heat transfer coefficient can be acquired from turbulent correlations in Equation 7 [4].

\[
Nu = 0.3 \times Re^{0.6} = \frac{h_w L}{k}
\]

Where, \(L\) is the length of the trough and \(k\) is the thermal conductivity of air at \(T_{\text{evaluation}}\).

![Figure 10. Convective heat transfer coefficient of the wind as a function of receiver temperature.](image)

**Overall Heat Loss**

The overall heat loss analysis calls for an iterative process, due to the fact that the heat loss is dependent on the temperature of the cover (\(T_{co}\)), which is initially unknown and a lot closer to \(T_a\) than \(T_r\). Therefore, two heat loss values will be calculated (in Watts),
Equation 11 accounts for heat lost from receiver to cover and Equation 9 accounts for heat lost from cover to ambient. These values will be compared and if Equation 9 does not equal Equation 11 ($Q_{loss} \neq Q_{loss}$) then the initial guess of the cover temperature is wrong and another will need to be made until Equation 9 = Equation 11 [1].

$$Q_{loss} = \pi D_{co} L h_w \times (T_{co} - T_a) + \varepsilon_c \pi D_{co} L \sigma \times (T_{co}^4 - T_{sky}^4)$$ 9

$$T_{ci} = T_{co} + \frac{Q_{loss} \times \ln \frac{D_{co}}{D_{ci}}}{2 \pi k_c L}$$ 10

$$Q_{loss} = \frac{\pi D_r L \sigma (T_r^4 - T_{ci}^4)}{1 + \frac{1 - \varepsilon_c \times D}{\varepsilon_r \times D_{ci}}}$$ 11

Where, $h_w$ is the wind heat transfer coefficient in W/m² C, $T_{co}$ is the temperature of the outside cover surface (initially guessed), $\varepsilon_r$ is the emissivity of the receiver surface (0.45), $\varepsilon_c$ is the emissivity of the cover surface (0.82), $\sigma$ is the Stefan-Boltzmann constant (5.67E-8 W/m² K⁴), $T_{ci}$ is the inside temperature of the cover (Equation 9), $T_{sky}$ is the sky temperature and is given in Equation 11, $k_c$ is the thermal conductivity of the cover, and $D_{ci}$ is the inside diameter of the cover, $D_{co}$ is the outside diameter of the cover, and $D_r$ is the diameter of the receiver.

$$T_{sky} = T_a \left[0.711 + 0.0056 T_{dp} + 0.000073 T_{dp}^2 + 0.013 \cos(15t)\right]^{1/4}$$ 12

Where, $T_{dp}$ is the dew point temperature and $t$ is the hour from midnight. We chose an average dew point temperature of 26 C and an hour from midnight of 12 to put us at noon. Once $Q_{loss}$ is found the overall heat loss coefficient can be found from Equation 13 [1].

$$U_L = \frac{Q_{loss}}{\pi D_r L \times (T_r - T_a)}$$ 13
Figure 11. Shows the overall heat loss coefficient for chosen receiver/cover pipe system. Assuming no heat transfer to the supports and that the air between the receiver and cover is evacuated. For $\varepsilon_c = 0.85$, $\varepsilon_r = 0.45$, $T_{dp} = 26$ C, and $t = 12$.

**Optical Performance of Receiver/Cover system**

Optical performance is a key aspect of solar collection due to the fact that the system can make only make use of energy that is absorbed by the receiver pipe (also known as a heat pipe), thus the objective here is to maximize the optical performance of the receiver.

**Angles**

Furthermore, the orientation of the receiver tube has a huge effect due to the large variety of incident angles the sun makes with the receiver. Therefore to minimize the average angle of incidence over a year period a North-South axis and East-West tracking was chosen with a tilted trough equal to the regions latitude (32 deg in this case). The angle of incidence is given by reference [4] in Equation 14, and the solar zenith angle in equation 15.

\[
\cos \theta = (\cos^2 \theta_z + \cos^2 \delta \sin^2 w)^{1/2}
\]

\[
\cos \theta_z = \cos \phi \cos \delta \cos w + \sin \phi \sin \delta
\]

Where $\theta$ is the incident angle, $w$ is the hour angle in degrees, $\Phi$ is the regions latitude in degrees, $\delta$ is the declination given by Equation 16 [1].

\[
\delta = 23.45 \sin(360 \times (284 + n)/365)
\]

Where $n$ is the day of the year.
Declination = 0.4 rad = 23 degrees.

**Incident Angle Modifier**

Due to the fact that reflectivity, transmissivity, and absorptivity depend on the incident angle of the solar radiation, an angle modifier is needed to correct for when radiation is not normal to the surface. Incident angle modifiers are used to account for errors in solar alignment, errors in concentrating contours, and errors in displacement of receiver outside of the focal plane. These errors must be accounted for because all of these cause shifts and enlargements of the solar images, which can greatly reduce the efficiency of the system.

\[
K_{DUF}(\theta) = 1 - 6.74E^{-5} \theta^2 + 1.64E^{-6} \theta^3 - 2.51E^{-8} \theta^4
\]

**Receiver Absorptivity**

Absorptivity is a material property that quantifies the ability of a material to absorb radiation, in this case solar radiation. Due to fluctuations of the solar incidence an absorptivity ratio was developed by reference [4] in equation 18.

\[
\frac{\alpha}{\alpha_n} = 1 + 2.0345e^{-3} \theta - 1.99e^{-3} \theta^2 + 5.324e^{-6} \theta^3 * 4.799e^{-8} \theta^4
\]

Where \(\alpha\) is the effective absorptivity, \(\alpha_n\) is the normal specular absorptivity of the material itself, and \(\theta\) is the angle of incidence.
Figure 14. shows the effective absorption of solar radiation at the receiver pipe (heat pipe).

Cover system

A transparent Pyrex glass tube is sheathed concentrically around the receiver pipe to help insulate the heat pipe as well as limit re-reflection and re-radiation back to the sky. To account for the overall optical performance of the cover system the respective solar transmission, reflection, and absorption will be analyzed.

Transmission, Reflection, and Absorption

The Pyrex glass cover is assumed to have a smooth surface and thus the analysis of the amount of solar radiation transmitted through the cover needs to account for the reflection of radiation back to the sky, and the absorption of radiation by the glass sheath. The analysis for the chosen cover system is as follows.

The angle at which the solar radiation refracts when passing through the cover is given by Snell’s law in Equation 19.

\[
\frac{n_1}{n_2} = \frac{\sin \theta_1}{\sin \theta_2}
\]

Where, \( \theta_1 \) is the incident angle of the radiation, \( n_1 \) is the index of refraction of the air (n=1), \( n_2 \) is the index of refraction of the glass cover, and \( \theta_2 \) is angle of refraction. The un-polarized portions of the radiation passing into the glass are,

\[
r_{\text{perpendicular}} = \sin^2(\theta_2 - \theta_1)/\sin^2(\theta_2 + \theta_1) \]

\[
r_{\text{parallel}} = \tan^2(\theta_2 - \theta_1)/\tan^2(\theta_2 + \theta_1) \]

Thus the transmissivity of the un-polarized portions of radiation is,

\[
\tau_c = \frac{1}{2} \left[ ((1 - r_{\text{parallel}})/(1 + r_{\text{parallel}})) + ((1 - r_{\text{perpendicular}})/(1 + r_{\text{perpendicular}})) \right]
\]
Where, $\tau_r$ is a measure of the amount of radiation transmitted with respect to what was reflected back to the sky. Now taking into account that the glass cover will absorb radiation as well, Equation 23 is used.

$$\tau_a = \exp\left(-\frac{K \cdot L}{\cos \theta_2}\right)$$  \hspace{1cm} 23

Where, $K$ is the extinction coefficient (32 1/m) and is a measure of the amount of radiation that the given glass will absorb with respect to what it transmits. Equation 24 will then give the total transmissivity of the cover system.

$$\tau = \tau_a \cdot \tau_r$$  \hspace{1cm} 24

![Figure 15. Total transmission of solar radiation through chosen single glazing Pyrex glass cover system. K for chosen Pyrex cover = 32 1/m.](image)

**Overall Optical Efficiency**

The overall modified optical efficiency is one that accounts for the reflection of radiation from the trough, the effective specular absorptivity of the receiver, the total transmissivity of the cover, and the incident angle with tracking corrections [4].

Furthermore, reflected radiation back to the receiver must be taken into account. This is done by the intercept factor, which is the fraction of the reflected radiation that is incident on the receiver surface [4]. For a large enough diameter $D_o$ the fraction is 1 [4]. The intercept factor was calculated as follows.

$$D_{\gamma=1} = w \cdot \frac{\sin(0.267)}{\sin(\phi_c)}$$  \hspace{1cm} 25

$$\gamma = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } D_o > D_{\gamma=1} \\ \frac{D_o}{D_{\gamma=1}} & \text{if } D_o < D_{\gamma=1} \end{cases}$$  \hspace{1cm} 26
Where, w is the width of the aperture, $D_o$ is the ideal diameter for an imperfect trough (Equation 3), and $\varphi_r$ is the rim angle. Now the overall modified optical efficiency can be calculated [4].

$$\eta_o(\theta) = \rho_c \times \alpha \times \tau \times \gamma$$  \hspace{1cm} 27

$$\eta_{\text{modified}}(\theta) = K(\theta)_{\text{DUF}} \times \eta_o(\theta)$$  \hspace{1cm} 28

Where, $\rho_c$ is the specular reflectance of the trough, $\alpha$ is the effective absorptivity of the receiver surface, $\tau$ is the total transmissivity of the cover, $K(\theta)_{\text{DUF}}$ is the incidence angle modifier (Equation 17), and $\gamma$ is the intercept factor.

![Figure 16. Overall optical efficiency of the chosen cover/receiver system throughout the day.](image)

**End Loss Coefficient**

Describes the amount of radiation that is reflecting out the end of the trough, because the chosen trough is considered a short trough these effects must be accounted for.

$$\xi(\theta) = 1 - (f / L) \times (1 + \frac{a^2}{48 \times f^2}) \times \tan(\theta)$$ \hspace{1cm} 29

Where, f is the focal length, L is the trough length, and a is the aperture width.
Absorbed Radiation

With all corrections made the actual amount of radiation that is absorbed (S) by the receiver is,

\[ S = I_{\text{beam}} \cdot \eta_{\text{mod}}(\theta) \cdot \xi(\theta) \cdot \cos \theta_1 \]

Where, \( I_{\text{beam}} \) is the average beam solar insolation incident on the aperture is found from tables (see ‘available radiation’), \( \eta_{\text{mod}}(\theta) \) is the overall modified optical efficiency, \( \xi(\theta) \) is the end loss coefficient, and \( \theta_1 \) is the angle of incidence.

Initial Heat Transferred to Fluid

Heat transferred to the fluid for the chosen trough length of 1.3 m. Due to the fact that the internal heat transfer is dependent on temperature, reference [4] suggests an estimated receiver temperature to evaluate the properties, see Equation 31. For the chosen receiver pipe the flow was found to be laminar (\( \text{Re} < 2300 \)) at that estimated mean receiver temperature. This is because our mass flow rate is very small, only 0.007 kg/s, this is to
balance the small rate of evaporation in our distiller device. Therefore, fluid properties are evaluated at,

\[ T_{\text{rm, estimate}} = T_{\text{in}} + 0.25 * S \frac{A_a}{C_p} \frac{\dot{m}}{\dot{m}} \]

And,

\[ \text{Re}_{\text{fluid}} = \frac{4 \dot{m}}{\pi D_{ri} \mu_{\text{fluid}}} \]

Where, \( A_a \) is the area of the aperture ([a-Dro]L), \( S \) is the absorbed radiation in watts. For turbulent flow patterns, \( \dot{m} \) is the mass flow rate of the fluid in kg/s, \( C_p \) is the specific heat of the fluid. Analysis was then done for laminar flow in internal pipes.

\[ Nu_{\text{fluid}} = 3.7 \]

The heat transfer coefficient is then found with,

\[ h_{\text{fluid}} = \frac{Nu_{\text{fluid}} * k_{\text{fluid}}}{D_{ri}} \]

Where, \( k_{\text{fluid}} \) is the thermal conductivity of the fluid.

To account for the temperature gradients in the flow direction a collector efficiency factor and flow factor are used, and are shown below respectively [1].

\[
F' = \frac{(1/U_L)}{(1/U_L) + \frac{D_{ro}}{h_{\text{fluid}} D_{ri}} + (D_{ro}/2k_r) \ln \left( \frac{D_{ro}}{D_{ri}} \right)}
\]

\[
F'' = \frac{F'_{FL}}{F'} = \frac{\dot{m}C_p}{A_r U_L F'} \left[ 1 - \exp \left( -\frac{A_r U_L F'}{\dot{m}C_p} \right) \right]
\]

Where, \( C_p \) is the specific heat of the fluid, \( A_r \) is the receiver area (\( \pi D_{ro}L \)), and \( U_L \) is the overall loss coefficient. Now the useful energy gain, mean fluid and receiver temperatures for the chosen trough can be calculated as follows,

\[
Q_{\text{useful}} = F'_{FL} A_a \left[ S - \frac{A_L}{A_a} U_L (T_{in} - T_a) \right]
\]
Trm = Tin + $\frac{Q_{useful} / A_r}{F_R \cdot U_L} (1 - F_R)$  

Tfm = Tin + $\frac{Q_{useful} / A_r}{F_R \cdot U_L} (1 - F_R)$

Figure 19. Useful energy gain as a function of receiver temperature for the chosen receiver pipe.

**Heating the Water to Saturation Temperature**

For the purposes of this project the objective is to heat the water to saturation temperature, because we want to maximize water vapor production. Therefore for this analysis the useful energy gain per meter was calculated, in order to establish an approximate length to vaporization. So, in this situation we know the inlet temperature of the fluid and the saturation temperature of water, thus these will be our inlet and outlet temperatures. The saturation temperature is dependent on pressure at the inlet, here it is assumed that atmospheric pressure exists and $T_{sat} = 100^\circ C$.

However, because these temperatures cause a gradient in the flow direction the flow patterns inside the pipe can be laminar and/or turbulent. Heat transfer increases significantly in turbulent flow than laminar flow [4]. This is accounted for by evaluating the Reynolds number at the inlet and outlet of the receiver pipe. If both are found to be laminar then laminar heat transfer correlations can be used, visa versa if both are found to be turbulent. However, if the pipe exhibits flow patterns that are both laminar and turbulent, as it is for the chosen receiver pipe, reference [4] suggests estimating an average heat transfer correlation using average Re and Pr numbers, as follows in Equation 41.

$$Re_{\text{fluid}} = \frac{4 \dot{m}}{\pi \cdot D_i \cdot \mu_{\text{fluid}}}$$
\[ \text{Nu}_{\text{average}} = 3.7 \times \frac{\text{Re}_{2300} - \text{Re}_{\text{in}}}{\text{Re}_{\text{sat}}} + \frac{\text{Re}_{\text{sat}} - \text{Re}_{2300}}{\text{Re}_{\text{sat}} - \text{Re}_{\text{in}}} \]

\[ \times \frac{(f/8) \times \text{Re}_{\text{turb, ave}} \times \text{Pr}_{\text{turb, ave}}}{1.07 + 12.7 \times \sqrt{(f/8) \times (\text{Pr}_{\text{turb, ave}} - 1)}} \times \left( \frac{\mu_{\text{fluid}}}{\mu_{w}} \right)^{1.1} \]  

\[ f = (0.79 \times \ln(\text{Re}_{\text{turb, ave}} - 1.64))^2 \]

Where,

\[ \text{Re}_{\text{turb, ave}} = \left( \frac{\text{Re}_{2300} + \text{Re}_{\text{sat}}}{2} \right) \]

\[ \text{Pr}_{\text{turb, ave}} = \left( \frac{\text{Pr}_{2300} + \text{Pr}_{\text{sat}}}{2} \right) \]

\[ \text{Re}_{2300} = 2300 \]

\( \text{Re}_{\text{in}} \) is the Reynolds number evaluated at the inlet temperature of the receiver pipe, \( \text{Re}_{\text{sat}} \) is the Reynolds number evaluated at the saturation temperature, \( \dot{m} \) is the mass flow rate of the fluid, \( \mu_{\text{fluid}} \) is the kinematic viscosity of the fluid, and \( \mu_{w} \) is the kinematic viscosity of water.

Figure 20. Shows the heat transfer coefficient of the fluid inside the receiver pipe as a function of \( T \) for the chosen receiver tube and mass flow of 0.007 kg/s.

In order to evaluate a length to heat water to saturation, reference [4] suggests evaluating the useful gain per unit length.
\[ q_{\text{useful}}' = \frac{F'}{L} \left( S \frac{A_r}{A_u} U_L (T_f - T_a) \right) \] 46

Where, F’ is the efficiency factor (Equation 35), L is the trough length, A_r is the receiver area \((\pi D_{ro} L)\), and T_f is the mean fluid temperature as shown in Equation 47. Furthermore, properties are evaluated at this estimated mean fluid temperature as well [4].

\[ T_{fm} = \frac{(T_{in} + T_{sat})}{2} \] 47

Where, T_{in} is the inlet temperature of the fluid and T_{sat} is the saturation temperature of the fluid at the inlet pressure. From the above the mean receiver temperature can be found in Equations 48 [4].

\[ T_{rm} = T_{fm} + q_{\text{useful}}' \left( \frac{1}{h_f} + \frac{\ln(D_{ro}/D_{ri})}{2\pi k} \right) \] 48

The length to heat the fluid to saturation temperature is given by reference [4] as follows,

\[ L_{sat} = (T_{sat} - T_{in}) \frac{C_p \dot{\dot{N}}}{q_{\text{useful}}} \] 49

Figure 21. Average useful gain per meter of the chosen receiver pipe as a function of fluid temperature.
Figure 22. Estimated length to heat water to the saturation temperature for the chosen receiver pipe as a function of inlet temperature. $T_{\text{sat}} = 100 \, \text{C}$, because atmospheric pressure is assumed at the inlet of the receiver pipe. Note: calculation done for horizontal axis system.

Due to the fact that our chosen design is only 1.3 m in length the water will be cycled through the same receiver tube multiple times (these will be referred to as passes) as the receiver gets hotter throughout the day. Therefore, if the receiver tube is 1.3 m and our flow rate is 0.007 kg/s of water then the average free stream velocity can be calculated from the average density of water, thus $V = 0.0466 \, \text{m/s}$. Then it takes the water 86 s to complete one pass through the receiver pipe. If the water has to travel approximately 3.5 m to return to the inlet of the receiver pipe it takes another 75 s to do so, thus, a total of 161 s to complete on pass. The total number of passes needed can be found by the ratio $L_{\text{sat}}/L$ and as a result the time it will take to heat the water to $T_{\text{sat}}$ can be estimated as $161 \, \text{s} \times L_{\text{sat}}/L = 25 \, \text{minutes}$.

Moreover, there will be some heat losses by cycling the water through the system this way that have not been taken into account to this point in the design process.

**Cross Section Temperature Profile of the Receiver Pipe**

Figure 23. Shows the temperature distribution of the entire absorber pipe (receiver and cover) in the radial direction in degrees C. The circles depict the absorber pipe cross section. Temperature at 1 and 9 is $T_{\text{sky}}$, temperature at 2 and 8 is $T_{a}$, temperature at 3 and 7 is $T_{\text{cover}}$, temperature at 4 and 6 is $T_{\text{receiver}}$, and the temperature at 5 is $T_{\text{fluid}}$. All for the chosen receiver pipe and trough system.
Determining Distiller Geometry

The incoming heat to the distiller is added from the solar trough collection system which adds approximately 250 useful W to the fluid each pass the fluid makes.

Thus the temperature of the water that pools, where most of the evaporation takes place, in the distiller are estimated to be the mean outlet temperature of the receiver pipe through out the cycle to get the water heated to saturation temperature (mean outlet temperature ranges from about 30 – 120 C).

Calculations (done at steady state) of the heat transferred inside the distiller, the mass flux inside the distiller, and the condensation rate inside the distiller were all analyzed as a function of distiller area, in order to determine an acceptable distiller geometry. Taking all these into account will allow the maximization of surface area for evaporation and the minimization of surface area for heat losses.

Initially, the following equations for free convective heat transfer were calculated as a function of distiller diameter ($D_{\text{distiller}}$). In order to evaluate the heat transfer in various distiller sizes. The thermal properties of air were evaluated at $T_{\text{eval}}$.

\[
T_{\text{eval}} = \frac{T_{m,o} + T_{\infty}}{2}
\]

\[
Ra = \frac{g\beta (T_s - T_{\infty})D_{\text{distiller}}^3}{\nu \alpha}
\]

Where,

\[
\beta = \frac{1}{T_{\text{abs}}}
\]

$T_s$ is estimated as the mean outlet temperature of the reciever heat pipe, $T_{\infty}$ is the temperature of the top surface of the distiller, which is unknown initially and thus, estimated as the temperature of the incoming heat exchange fluid (30 C).

The heat transfer due to free convection in the distiller is then,

\[
Nu = 0.15 Ra^{1/3}
\]

\[
\bar{h} = \frac{Nu * k_{\text{air}}}{D_{\text{distiller}}}
\]

Applying the mass transfer analogy the average mass transfer coefficient can be calculated and ultimatley the mass flux.
\[
\frac{h}{h_m} = \frac{k}{D_{AB} Le^{1/3}}
\]

Where,

\[ Le = \frac{\alpha}{D_{AB}} \]

\( \alpha \) is the diffusivity of air, \( k \) is the thermal conductivity of air, and \( D_{AB} \) is the diffusion coefficient of air. The average mass flux in kg/m² s is,

\[
N'' = \bar{h}_m \times (C_{A,s} - C_{A,\infty}) \times M_{\text{water}}
\]

Where,

\[
C_{A,s} = \frac{p_{sat}(T_s)}{RT_s}
\]

\[
C_{A,\infty} = C_{A,s} \times \Theta_{rel}
\]

\[
M_{\text{water}} = 18 \text{kg/kmol}
\]

\( p_{sat}(T_s) \) is the saturation pressure at the mean outlet temperature of the receiver pipe, \( R \) is the universal gas constant (8.314 J/K mol), \( \Theta_{rel} \) is the relative humidity (estimated to be 70%), and here \( T_s \) is the evaporate pool mean temperature estimated at \( T_{\text{mean outlet receiver pipe}} \).

The average mass flux was then analyzed as a function of distiller area.
Figure 24. Average gallons per hour of mass (water vapor) leaving the evaporate pool as a function of distiller area for the chosen system.

The overall average heat transfer inside the distiller is a combination of the free convective heat transfer and the heat transferred by evaporation. Therefore,

\[ Q_{conv} = \bar{h} \times (T_s - T_\infty) \]

\[ Q_{evap} = N'' \times H_{fg} \]

Where, \( N'' \) is the mass per unit area leaving the surface and \( H_{fg} \) is the heat of vaporization of water in J/kg, \( T_s \) is the temperature of the fluid inside the distiller, and \( T_\infty \) is the temperature of the top surface and is initially unknown. However, the top surface temperature needs to be maintained at a temperature that will maximize condensation and yet maximize heat transferred to the cool heat exchange fluid, this temperature is designed to be 29-30°C for the chosen geometry; this analysis is done in the ‘heat exchanger’ section.

The average heat transferred to the top surface is then,

\[ Q_{ave} = Q_{conv} - Q_{evap} \]

From this thermal analysis the following results were calculated.
Figure 25. Summarizes the heat transferred from the evaporate pool to the top surface due to free convection and evaporation effects as a function of distiller area for the chosen system.

With the heat transferred to the top surface, the rate of condensation in [kg/m² s] on that surface can be estimated as follows.

\[ \dot{m}_{\text{condensation}} = \frac{Q_{\text{evap}}}{h'_{fg}} \]

Where,

\[ h'_{fg} = h_{fg} + 0.68 \cdot C_p \cdot (T_{\text{sat}} - T_s) \]

\( C_p \) is the specific heat of the water in the distiller and \( T_s \) is the temperature of the top surface of the distiller.

Figure 26. Shows the average rate of condensation as a function of condensing surface area for the chosen system.

From the data calculated above a distiller size was chosen. A cross sectional surface area of approximately 2.5 ft² with a height of 6 in was chosen. As for the condensing surface a height of 1 foot was chosen to maximize condensation rates.
Heat Exchanger

The hot condensate from the hot evaporation pool will transfer heat to the cool condensing surface, which is why the surface must be continually cooled by a heat exchanger. Want to keep top surface temp at 29 C the condensate raises the temp of the surface and to keep the surface temp at 29 C to allow for an ideal condensation rate for the chosen geometry.

In order for the temperature of the condensing surface to stay relatively mild the delta T of the cool heat exchange fluid needs to be equal to the amount of heat to be extracted from the surface, that is being heated by the condensate. The change in temperature of the heat exchange fluid will represent heat being lost from the surface and added to the heat exchange fluid, thus for the following analysis a mass flow rate of the heat exchange fluid was calculated to maximize the transfer of heat to the fluid and thus from the surface.

The heat transfer to the surface by the condensate is estimated with laminar film stream flowing down a vertical plate correlations, therefore,

$$h_{\text{condensate}} = 0.943 \left( \frac{g \cdot (1/\nu_l) - (1/\nu_v)}{\mu_l \cdot (T_{\text{sat}} - T_s) \cdot L} \frac{k_l \cdot h_{fg}}{T_{\text{sat}} - T_s} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} 66

$$\Delta T_{\text{top surface}} = \frac{Q_{\text{evap}}}{h_{\text{condensate}}}$$  \hspace{1cm} 67

Where, \( \nu_l \) is the specific volume of the liquid water, \( \nu_v \) is the specific volume of the water vapor, \( k_l \) is the thermal conductivity of the liquid water, \( \mu_l \) is the kinematic viscosity of the liquid water, \( T_s \) is the top condensing surface temperature, and \( L \) is the height of the cone top condensing surface.

Figure 27. Shows the delta T of the top condensing surface due to the heating effects from the condensation process as a function of the condensing surface geometry.
A delta $T$ of 40°C was chosen. Since the temperature of the fluid is raised 40°C that approximately represents a loss of 40°C from the surface, thus cooling the surface so additional condensation can occur.

Heat transfer coefficient was estimated to be 600 W/m² K, as suggested by reference [6] for water vapor to water heat transfer through a stainless steel surface. The energy transferred to the fluid is described in Equation 68.

$$Q = \dot{m} C_p \Delta T \quad 68$$

Where, $Q$ is estimated as the amount of heat transferred to the top condensing surface via convection and evaporation, $\dot{m}$ is the mass flow rate of the heat exchange fluid, and $\Delta T$ is 40°C.

Therefore a mass flow rate of 0.0173 kg/s is needed to balance the temperature of the surface to about 30°C.

### Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flow rate of heat exchange fluid to cool condensing surface</th>
<th>Distiller cross sectional area</th>
<th>Distiller height</th>
<th>Cone shaped condensing surface height</th>
<th>Estimated time to heat water to saturation temperature at steady state</th>
<th>Estimated useful energy gain of solar energy to fluid per pass</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0173 kg/s</td>
<td>2.5 ft²</td>
<td>6 in</td>
<td>1 ft</td>
<td>25 min</td>
<td>260 W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average condensation rate</td>
<td>Average daily insolation used for calculations in San Diego</td>
<td>Average mass flux inside receiver pipe</td>
<td>Average Mass Flow Rate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.008-0.1 gph</td>
<td>522 W/m²</td>
<td>0.2-0.35 gph</td>
<td>0.007 kg/s</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Conclusions and Recommendations

In regards to our solar water distillation concept, our team has come to conclusion of an overall design and concept of our final assembly. The enhanced solar water trough has undergone several iterations to produce maximum potable water production. The overall basic geometry of the solar water distillation comprises of:

- 32 degree applied tilted angle silver acrylic film tape trough
- Absorber tube (Pyrex® glass cover and copper heated pipe)
- Spray nozzle
- Distiller with heat exchanger
- Integrated piping with insulation
- Bachmann mini water pump
- Distilled water reservoir

These key components of our application with allow for contaminated water input and clean drinkable water output through condensate distillation. Therefore, the user is able to produce drinkable water priceless through our invention. This is engineered through a dynamic process of solar energy, evaporation, and condensation. The procedure is accomplished as follows:

- Contaminated water enters an absorber tube (Pyrex glass cover, copper heat pipe) oriented at the focus of a parabolic reflective trough.
- Heated water exits absorber tube and enters distiller via spray nozzle to increase surface area per droplet to enhance evaporation.
- Remaining hot water pools and distiller then allows for further evaporation.
- Vapor is condensed on a cooled condensing surface via heat exchanger oriented on the top of the distiller
- Heat exchanger uses cool contaminated water flow to cool the condensing surface and preheat the incoming water
- Condensed potable water drains out of distiller via gravity into a collection tube

Our six-point system allows for the production of 2-3 gallons of purified water daily within ideal solar conditions. The primary goal for our project is to accommodate our user with nourished water without the need of traveling. Also, 3rd world countries will be safer and healthier with the simple use of our solar water distillation application.

**Comparison with Existing Designs**

Water cone: The water cone invented by Stephan Augstin, is a simple distiller that is similar to our distiller in a lot of ways. The conical shaped design allows for the process of solar distillation. The top of the water cone has a screw cap spout which allows for the collection of the clean water. In comparison with the water cone, our parabolic solar water distiller is fixed and automatically collects the purified water without the labor or rotating the entire device upside down like the water cone seen in the figure below.
Figure 28. Water Cone applying condensation via V-shaped collector.

Figure 29. Winiarski Solar Still applying condensation via V-shaped collector.

*Florida Solar Energy Center:* This device uses a parabolic trough to concentrate solar energy on a copper pipe just. The copper pipe uses the heat exchange principle similar to ours except that our heat exchanger is coupled with the distiller. The untreated water is then pumped into the outer channel, where it is heated directly by the concentrated solar energy. After the water reaches the end of the pipe, it returns through the middle channel, and preheats the untreated water. Preheat is idle for the Florida Solar energy project yet the conditions for our parabolic solar distillation do not require preheating. In comparison, photovoltaic panel supplies electricity for the pump for both our application and theirs. Experiments at the Florida Solar Energy Center showed that this device would produce up to 660 gallons of drinking water per day, using a 92-square-foot concentrator. The developers have estimated that the cost of materials for this device is about $1,680. When the cost of the pump and reservoirs is added, this becomes one of the more
expensive devices. Our parabolic solar water distillation project will not produce as much gallons of drinking water per day therefore cost of materials will be substantially cheaper.

**Learning Experience**

Our parabolic solar water distillation project allowed our team to interface with mechanical engineering problematic circumstances. Project goals induced our group into planning and engaging in systematic approaches for project management and design. Some important learning aspects for our “real world” project included:

- Effective time and team management
- Applying conceptual design methods
- Intertwine science, mathematics, and engineering
- Use techniques, skills, and tools for engineering practices
- Ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems

The project introduced our team into a learning environment within several important areas of mathematics and science. Specializing and engaging into the monumental development of solar applications, we were able to apply our knowledge to furthermore help the expansion of solar green movement. Therefore, our parabolic solar water distillation application integrated the research development within the following areas:

- Solar Energy
- Chemistry
- Fluid Dynamics

These three areas were crucial for our application emphasizing on solar energy. Our world has historically overtaxed its resources, but by solar energy, we could perhaps give back what it gave to us by improving our energy efficiency. The parabolic solar water distillation will improve solar energy efficiency and accommodate the user with an efficient water source.

**Future Research and Development**

After a semester of research and calculations, we have settled on a final design of a solar still, a solar still that will maximize potable water production. Some of our future research and development consist of:

- Refinement and consistency improvements
- Receiving a constant film flow of water down the coned heat transfer surface
- Spray nozzle at heat exchanger inlet
- Fabric material or grooves to distribute the water over the surface
- Fabrication and assembly of our design
- Prototype testing/data acquisition

**Strengths and Weaknesses**
The objective of this system is to heat water to vaporization, and not to produce high quality steam. Taking into account thermal performance, heat loss, absorptivity, and all other variables, we were able to pinpoint our condensation rate at .5 (gph) for our solar distiller. Optimum optical efficiency at .71 and absorbed radiation at 338.5 W/m² followed as well. Overall, we might have to maximize the distiller geometry volume to allow for utmost condensation.
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Appendix A

Literature Review
ABSTRACT

The solar tracking system maintains a solar collector on its respective surface normal to the sun rays. It includes a shaft supported for rotation about an axis parallel to the north-south axis of the earth, a stepper motor for intermittent rotations of the shaft at a mean rate equal to the earth's rate of rotation. A solar collector rotation assembly is located on one side of the shaft and includes a tracker, a linear actuator, and a solar collector. The linear actuator moves the tracker, which is pivotally mounted on the shaft, to vary the inclination of the solar collector. The system also includes a gear set to rotate the support relative to the shaft, and a weight to counterbalance the support. The solar collector is sequentially mounted on the system to face the sun.
Method for Estimating Light Reflectance
A reflectance of a color shifted painting color is also measured conveniently.

A first reflectance \( R(\alpha_s) \) of a first reflected light \( V_r \) inside an incident plane \( A \) is measured, and a first locus \( l_1 \) of termini of first bisection vectors \( H_1, H_1 = R(\alpha_s) \), which displaces two-dimensionally inside the incident plane \( A \), is determined. A second reflectance \( R(\alpha_s) \) of a second reflected light \( V_a \) outside the incident plane \( A \) is measured, and a second locus \( m_1 \) of termini of second bisection vectors \( H_2, H_2 = R(\alpha_s) \), which displaces three-dimensionally outside the incident plane \( A \), is measured. A locus \( n \) \((x, y, z)\) of a terminus of a bisection vector \( H_i \) on a plane \( x = y \) that is parallel to a plane under measurement is approximately modeled with a numerical equation showing an ellipse from the first locus \( l_1 \) and the second locus \( m_1 \), thereby determining an approximation model equation, and an overall locus \( n \) \((x, y, z)\) of the overall termini of bisection vectors \( H_i \) of reflected lights \( V \) other than the first reflected light \( V_r \) and the second reflected light \( V_a \) is approximately determined.
Solar Powered Portable Water Purifier
SOLAR POWERED PORTABLE WATER PURIFIER

Inventor: Daniel Saraceno, 1105 SW. 13th Dr., Boca Raton, FL (US) 33436

Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.

Appl. No.: 10/965,999
Filed: Dec. 9, 2002

Prior Publication Data

Field of Search: 210/748, 210/85, 210/241
210/202, 203, 237, 241, 244, 256, 239, 255
422/24, 186, 3, 250/432 R

References Cited
U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

5,484,538 A * 1/1996 Woodward .......... 210/767
5,547,584 A 8/1996 Capelhart
6,193,094 B1 * 2/2001 Holland .......... 210/748

DE 2968545 U1 * 8/1996
* cited by examiner

Primary Examiner—Frank M. Lawrence
(45) Date of Patent: Mar. 8, 2005

(74) Attorney, Agent, or Firm—Malin, Haley & DiMaggio, P.A.

ABSTRACT
The water purification system and associated method of the present invention consists of a generally self-contained, highly maneuverable, portable water purification system. Maneuverability is enhanced by mounting a cabinet on wheels or on a cart that may be easily guided to a water supply. Power is supplied to the system in a solar cell. The power is used to operate the pump of the system and to power the purifying radiation source. The system can also be used as a portable power source in addition to its capacity as a water purifier.
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Sub-Atmospheric Stirring Distillation Device
A method for distilling water includes the steps of entering brine to be distilled into a sub-atmospheric boiler having a brine section with a brine output and a water vapor output; concentrating brine in the brine section to a concentration of at least 250 grams of salt or contaminants per liter; stirring the brine in the brine section; and exiting the brine through the brine output. A distiller with a subatmospheric boiler having a stirring device is also provided.
ABSTRACT

A sub-atmospheric pressure desalinating still employs a closed top, opened bottom tank filled with seawater, having a height greater than the height of a column of seawater that can be supported by the pressure at the bottom tank so that a vacuum is formed at the top. A compressor draws vapor from the evacuated area, compresses it and passes it through a heat exchanger within the tank volume to condense the vapor in the tank to generate purified water. Replenishing water is drawn in through the bottom of the tank, passes through a heat exchanger, and is pumped through a heat exchanger coil surrounding the compressor, with the outlet feeding a spray head within the vacuum volume. The compressor and the pump for the intake flow are powered by a wind turbine or wave power.
A desalination device includes a saltwater input line and a desalinator having a water input connected to the input line, a fresh water output and a brine output. A fuel cell generates electricity and is connected to an energy source for the desalinator. A heat exchanger transfers waste heat from the fuel cell to desalinator.