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Abstract

Research is conducted on the viability of using a carbon particle disperser to produce a continuous, consistent, and adjustable carbon cloud from prefabricated carbon particles and air. The method of generating such a cloud is researched and many design and analysis iterations are performed to determine the optimal system configuration. The carbon cloud produced by the system will absorb concentrated solar energy and convert it into thermal energy. This energy will be used to power a gas turbine in an open Brayton power cycle. The use of a carbon particle cloud to convert solar energy into thermal energy is important because high efficiencies can be achieved resulting in lower energy costs and less environmental impacts. A final system design is chosen including a detailed timeline of the fabrication process. System components include a fluidized bed containing a diffuser plate and particle injection tube and a recirculation loop including a vacuum pump. Multiple pressure regulators are used to control both fluidization and the system output. A completed system capable of generating a uniform, consistent carbon cloud is delivered as per the project objectives.
Executive Summary

The output of the carbon particle disperser is a carbon cloud in which the overall mass flow as well as the amount of carbon is controlled. Furthermore, the cloud must be of a uniform consistency over both large and small flow rates. This is achieved by having two flow controllers strategically placed within the system to independently control fluidization and carbon cloud output. The carbon in the system is oxidized by the incoming solar energy and converted into thermal energy to power a gas turbine. Because the carbon is used to transfer solar energy to the surrounding system gases (air) the consistency, density, and particle size of the resulting cloud will determine the efficiency of this energy transfer. As stated in the abstract the system contains a fluidized bed and recirculation loop. The fluidized bed is used to initially agitate and uniformly atomize the carbon particles. This uniform distribution or atomization is achieved by the use of a cylindrical fluidized bed cylinder and a diffuser plate containing hundreds of holes. The carbon sits on top of the diffuser plate while fresh ambient air is pushed through the plate via the recirculation loop. The flow rate and pressure through the plate are controlled by a flow controller in line with the recirculation loop. Consequently, this independently regulates the fluidization without affecting the overall system output. The recirculation loop operated via a diaphragm vacuum pump, which pulls fresh air from the top of the fluidized bed and independently adds energy into the system. The overall system output is controlled by an injection tube and flow controller. By adding fresh air to the system a carbon cloud is forced out the ejection tube. The ejection tube is adjustable to accommodate varying levels of carbon and help control the density of the outgoing carbon cloud. The system was fabricated using cast acrylic material via a computer controlled 3-axis mill. Once assembled basic system testing was completed to verify the overall system operation.
Introduction

Recent recognition of the dangers associated with global warming and greenhouse gasses has sparked a heightened interest in renewable energy. Many types of renewable energy—long ignored—are once again being pursued as a viable, profitable, source of power. Solar power is one of these fields. Many types of solar power plants exist today, each having advantages and disadvantages over the other. Photovoltaics and concentrated sunlight are two such types. Our project focuses on the concentrated sunlight type, more specifically, solar tower power.

Today’s solar tower power plants use a Rankine cycle as a means to produce power. This type of system uses liquid (typically water or oil) as the working fluid to transfer energy from a solar receiver to a turbine. The motivation behind this project is to replace the Rankine cycle with a much more efficient Brayton cycle gas turbine system. The Brayton Cycle requires a different working fluid, as liquids are not sufficient.

It has been shown through research and testing that small particles suspended in a cloud make an excellent absorber of sunlight provided the particle size is chosen correctly. Furthermore, carbon particles have ideal properties because they have the proper optical constants enabling the entire particle to be an active absorber of energy. Once the particles have absorbed the solar energy they rapidly give this energy to the surrounding gas. The reason for this is because of the particles’ large surface area-to-mass, and surface area-to-volume ratio.

The main focus of this project is to create a scalable system capable of suspending pre-fabricated carbon particles in a cloud. The cloud will be used to absorb solar energy and transfer that energy into the surrounding air. During the energy transfer process the solar receiver will achieve temperatures of 1000 – 1300 °C oxidizing the carbon. The resulting super heated carbon dioxide and air will force its way through a gas turbine producing power. Essentially, the carbon particle disperser and solar receiver will take the place of a traditional combustion chamber within a Brayton cycle.
**Project Definition**

The following is the original project definition from the Spring 2009 semester. It established the basic description of the project and provided a set of guidelines to follow throughout the design process.

---

**SENIOR PROJECT DEFINITION**

**Title:** Carbon Particle Cloud Generator

**Document No.:** MESP 0092

**Printed:** 2/14/09

---

**TEAM MEMBERS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>EMAIL ADDRESS</th>
<th>PHONE NUMBER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aron Daria</td>
<td><a href="mailto:AronDaria@hotmail.com">AronDaria@hotmail.com</a></td>
<td>(619) 713-5649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Terry</td>
<td><a href="mailto:JohnJoeTerry@gmail.com">JohnJoeTerry@gmail.com</a></td>
<td>(858) 733-1123</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

1. **SPONSOR**
   Google.org
   1000 Amphitheatre Parkway
   Mountain View, Ca 94043
   (650) 250-0000

2. **ADVISORS**
   - Professor Fletcher J. Miller
     San Diego State University
     5500 Campanile Dr.
     San Diego, Ca 92182
     (619) 594-5791
     Fletcher.Miller@sdsu.edu
   - Professor Aron J. Hunt
     University of California Berkeley
     Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory 7841
     Berkeley, Ca 94720
     (510) 486-5370
     AJ.Hunt@lbl.gov

3. **PROJECT DESCRIPTION**
   The Carbon Particle Cloud Generator is one component of a thermal solar power generation plant. Its function is to absorb sunlight and efficiently heat the working fluid (air) in a Brayton power cycle. The project is research-based and its primary objective is to determine the viability of the particle cloud generator in a full-scale power plant. This project is part of a two-year venture with Google.

   **Description:** We will design and build a device to suspend prefabricated particles (carbon) in a cloud to collect solar radiation. The project will also include various sensors, a monitoring system, and a way to control components of the system and properties of the particle cloud. The final product will be a small-scale working prototype.

   **Reason:** Using suspended carbon particles as a method for heat transfer has proven to be a highly efficient way of capturing the sun’s radiant energy. Incorporating this into a large-scale solar power plant would be beneficial to the energy industry.

   **Considerations:** Control of this system is the primary objective. This includes temperature, and pressure monitoring, as well as flow rates and particle size. The goal is to create a simple user interface of feedback and controls so the particle cloud can be adapted to a large variety of test conditions.

4. **ESTABLISHED WORK**
   Research has been done in this particular field, but thus far, no viable method of controlling/sustaining a carbon cloud has been perfected. The Department of Environmental Science and Energy Research at Weizmann Institute of Science recently conducted a study on various methods of particle entrainment. This study was published in the Journal of Solar Energy Engineering. These results, along with Professor Miller and Hunt’s own research, will serve as the starting point for this project (see attached articles).

---

The information contained herein is the exclusive property of SDSU and constitutes confidential proprietary information which shall not be used, duplicated, or disclosed to any third party without the prior written consent from the Dept of Mechanical Engineering, or unless otherwise indicated on the document header.

Form MESP 002
Established technologies that will be utilized in this project include: various agitators, injectors, nozzles, and plasma/powder spraying methods. Each will play an important role in the research and development portions of this project. Because a successful prototype for this component has never been built, much of the project will require new, untested technology.

5 SCOPE OF WORK
Research into methods of reducing the size and adhesiveness of the carbon particles will be the likely focus of this project. Monitoring and system control will also be a top priority.

- **Anticipated Research** – plasma/powder spraying, carbon particle adhesion, particle suspension, and the thermal properties of air, carbon, and any other materials used in the design.
- **Analysis** – Thermodynamics and Fluid dynamics of the components and working fluid (particle cloud). Stress of components under thermal loading. Simple FEA.
- **Design** – Solid models and drawings in Solidworks or Pro/E. Creating a control system with a simple user interface to for testing of the prototype using LabView or other data acquisition software.
- **Fabrication** - Components will be both purchased and machined depending on their availability. Assembly will be completed at SDSU (Machine Shop and Thermal Lab)
- **Testing** – Thermocouples, flow monitors, optical sensors etc, will be used to evaluate the controls and design.

6 DELIVERABLES
Spring 2009 semester:
- **Concept Design** – Simplified design/drawing that details the basic functions of the assemblies various components.
- **Final Design** – An improved/detailed version of the concept design. This includes solid models and drawings for the major components.
- **Assembly Chart/BOM** – a list of potential materials and information (including cost, availability, properties).
- **Operation Chart**
- **Engineering Logbook** – Journal kept by each member of the team containing entries throughout the development process.
- **Project Poster** – A full project description and a summary of the design process.
- **Final Presentation** – Formal presentation on the design process and research.

Fall 2009 Semester:
- A functional, small-scale particle cloud generator to serve as a prototype for future solar power plant applications.

7. FUNDING
Source of funding: Google
Amount available: $5000
Team Assignments
This section contains the assignments completed throughout the course of the Fall 2009 semester. See Appendix for Weekly Meeting Reports.

Project Management Plan

Fall 2009 Timeline

Week (Monday)

9/14 – Find/ read/ understand fluidization engineering books, papers, and journals.
  Determine pressures, flow rates, and complete a general fluid dynamic analysis on the system.

9/28 – Modify LabView program to experiment with different system inputs/ scenarios.
  Determine tube size and general fluidized bed sizes.
  Determine final system configuration.

10/5 – Complete fluidized bed and nozzle design including all dimensions.
  Create a solid model and complete drawings needed to start machining.

10/19 – Acquire materials needed to start fluidized bed and nozzle fabrication.

11/2 – Acquire tubing and other components needed to test the fluidized bed and nozzle.
  Start fabrication of the fluidized bed and nozzle components.

11/16 – Continue fabrication of components.

11/30 – Assemble fluidized bed, tubing, and flow controllers.
  Start testing.

12/7 – Optimize system for efficient and desired operation.
  Deliver prototype to Dr. Miller.
Design Drawings
Drawings of machined parts only.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part #</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Material Cost</th>
<th>Weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>See assembly</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>See assembly</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>See assembly</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>See assembly</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>See assembly</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>See assembly</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>See assembly</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>See assembly</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Bill of Materials**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part #</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Material Cost</th>
<th>Weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>See assembly</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>See assembly</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>See assembly</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>See assembly</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>See assembly</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>See assembly</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>See assembly</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>See assembly</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**
- All dimensions are in millimeters (mm).
- Tolerances: ±0.1 mm unless otherwise specified.
- Material: Steel, aluminum, plastic, etc.

**Assembly:**
- Join parts using screws or gluing as specified in the manual.

**Project:**
- Carbon Fiber Composite System
The testing equipment that will be used on the carbon cloud output has not been completed or calibrated. This includes the Extinction Tube and the Diesel Particle Spectrometer. Until this equipment is ready, visual tests will be conducted.

**Test 1:** The first of these visual tests involved passing the carbon output through filters (paper towels) and comparing the deposits. For each trial, the fluidization rate was kept constant, and the cloud was passed through the filter for five seconds. This test was conducted at successively higher incoming fresh air flow rates. After the trials were conducted, the results were compared.

**Results:** Initial results showed the carbon particle disperser provides a desirable control over the cloud output. A carbon cloud is produced at all ranges of flow; this includes low flow rates, which is a problem for other fluidized beds.
Project Poster

Carbon-Particle Disperser

What is a Carbon-Particle Disperser?
A carbon particle disperser mixes air and prefabricated carbon particles to produce a continuous, consistent cloud.

What is it used for?
The carbon cloud produced by this system will absorb concentrated sunlight and convert it to thermal energy. This energy will eventually power a turbine in an open-Brayton power cycle.

Why is this important?
Using a carbon-particle cloud to absorb solar flux is more efficient than current coolant-driven systems. Higher efficiencies mean lower energy costs for the consumer.

How does it work?
Fresh air passes into the top of the cylinder, forcing a carbon cloud out of the ejection tube. The adjoining recirculation loop independently controls particle mixing.

Fluidized Bed:
The term, “fluidized bed,” refers to the bubbling carbon-air mixture that sits on the diffuser plate (yellow) inside the acrylic cylinder. This may also include the upper chamber (base, endcap, and cylinder).

Particle Ejection Tube:
This is the 1/2 in. tube can easily be adjusted to match the height of the fluidized bed. This gives the user greater control over the final cloud.

Recirculation Loop:
This takes air from the top of the upper chamber to the lower chamber. A diaphragm pump coupled with a pressure regulator control the flow of air. This unique feature generates a consistent fluidized bed for any fresh-air flow rate.

Diffuser Plate:
This evenly distributes recirculated air throughout the bed of carbon particles. Future plates will incorporate small motors to further agitate the carbon.

Preliminary Results:
These results demonstrate the controllability of the system. Particles were passed into a filter for incoming flow rates of 5, 10, and 15 psi for 3 seconds. The flow in the recirculation loop was kept constant for each bed.
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**Design**

**Specifications**

Quick Comparison:

**Table 1. Original Target Specifications**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specification</th>
<th>Original Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gas Flow Rate</td>
<td>25 – 60 L/min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= 125 – 600 SLPM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= 0.0004167 – 0.001 m3/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= 1.5 – 3.6 m3/hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particle Density</td>
<td>1 – 3 g/m3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= 0.001 – 0.003 kg/m3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particle Injection Rate</td>
<td>1.5 – 10.8 g/hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= 0.0015 – 0.0108 kg/hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure</td>
<td>5 – 10 atm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= 506.6 – 1013 kPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= 73.48 – 146.96 psi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extinction Coefficient (α)</td>
<td>2m-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particle Diameter</td>
<td>0.5 – 1.0 µm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budget</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2. Final Specifications**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specification</th>
<th>Final Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gas Flow Rate</td>
<td>25 – 60 L/min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adjustable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particle Density</td>
<td>1 – 3 g/m3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= 0.001 – 0.003 kg/m3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particle Injection Rate</td>
<td>1.5 – 10.8 g/hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= 0.0015 – 0.0108 kg/hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure</td>
<td>0 atm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Potential to increase to high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>pressure system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extinction Coefficient (α)</td>
<td>2m-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particle Diameter</td>
<td>0.5 – 1.0 µm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Cost</td>
<td>$365.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Detailed Specifications:

Input:
- Carbon Particles: Asbury, 230nm diameter carbon black
- Air: Compressed air from .25” line

Output:
- Carbon Cloud

Performance Requirements:
- Air Lines: Compressor (must maintain adequate pressure)
- Pump: 120V AC Power outlet

Environmental Conditions:
- Humidity: Low humidity conditions will keep the carbon from agglomerating

Constraints (Including Economic):

Materials:
- Acrylic:
  - Upper/Lower Chamber: 3.75” OD cast acrylic tube
  - Diffuser Plate: 0.125” cast acrylic plate
  - Flanges/End Caps: 1.25” cast acrylic plate
- Lines: 0.5” stainless steel tube
- Fittings: Assorted Brass Swagelok compression fittings
- Stand:
  - Base: (2) 0.5” plywood sheets
  - Legs: (3) 2X4”
**Concepts**

**ME490A:**
These are the original concepts for the overall system design and its individual components.

**General Assembly Concepts:**

1. **Spray Gun:**
   This concept is a single-piece fixture that would take a gas and particle input and automatically generate a particle cloud of the desired density and consistency.

   **Pros:** Simple – A one-piece design would minimize assembly time, components and overall cost.

   **Cons:** Design and testing phase will be difficult. Any changes would require an entire revision, beginning with the concept designs. Because of the way ME 490A (design) and ME 490B (assembly) is structured, a nozzle design is not a realistic option.

2. **Mobile Radiation Absorbing Surface:**
   This concept will include several components. Each will have a specialized function in generating the carbon particle cloud (mobile radiation absorbing surface). The components will be: a gas supply (intake), two combination flow controllers/monitors, a particle-gas mixer (a fluidized bed, spouted bed, or a blender), a separator (settling chamber or cyclone separator) and a particle cloud analyzer.

   **Pros:** Separate specialized components make the alterations during the design, testing and prototyping phases easier. Also, all of the research on cloud generators thus far has been based on this concept.

   **Cons:** This concept also relies heavily on the testing phase. Several designs will need to be prototyped and tested for this project to be a success.
General Concept Conclusion:

Based on the structure of the ME 490 A-B course sequence, the Mobile Radiation Absorbing Surface Concept will be the best choice for the assembly design.

Component Concepts:

1. Flow Controllers/Monitors:
   Flow controllers and monitors already exist, so we will buy one. There will be no need to design or modify any part of it. Target specifications for our carbon particle cloud generator are provided in the appendix of this report.

2. Particle-Gas Mixer:
   The Particle-Gas mixer component will require a great deal of design and testing. This is because it, along with the separator, will have the greatest affect over the final particle cloud. Our research shows that the mixer has been used only to get the carbon particles into a cloud state. The separator would then be used to remove the agglomerated particles. We would like to improve upon these designs by including a way to break up the agglomerated particles. This will decrease the demand upon the separator.

   A. Fluidized Bed:
      One option for the Particle-Gas Mixing component is a fluidized bed. This has been used in several prior particle-cloud generation experiments to date. So far, however, fluidized beds have not been able to sustain a consistent cloud for prolonged periods of time. Figure 1 shows a diagram of a fluidized bed.
B. **Spouted Bed:**

The spouted bed has shown the most promise, in terms of sustainability over a large range of flow rates. Unfortunately, few specifications are given into the equipment and lab setup. Figure 2 shows a diagram of the internal operation of a spouted bed.

![Spouted Bed Diagram](image)

**Fig. 2.** Spouted bed diagram.

C. **Blender:**

Blenders have shown promise in terms of consistency but have only worked for limited flow rates. This is because at higher rates, the cloud begins to rotate and become a vortex. Only rotating mixers have been used so far. We would like to research vibrating mixers for a possible application in our carbon particle-cloud generator.

D. **Hybrid Combination:**

This concept would be a combination of two of the particle-gas mixer concepts above. The most promising combination would be a spouted bed/blender. This would allow a sustained cloud over a wide range of flow rates, which can also break apart many of the agglomerated particles. This would lighten the load for the separator.
3. Separator:

1. **Settling Chamber:**
   
   This concept would involve passing the particle cloud through a large chamber. The large cross-sectional area would slow the velocity of the flow enough that the larger agglomerated particles can settle to the bottom of the tank. Only the particles of the desired size would pass to the next component in the assembly.

2. **Cyclone Separator:**
   
   A cyclone separator would utilize a high-speed vortex to separate the larger particles from the cloud due to centrifugal force. Figure 5 shows a simplified design of a cyclone separator.

![Fig. 3. Cyclone separator diagram](image)

C. **Nozzle:**

   A nozzle is different from a settling chamber or cyclone because it would directly separate the agglomerates directly. This would be beneficial because it would eliminate the need to manually remove these agglomerates from the collection chambers.

   One problem with nozzles, however, is they tend to clog. Therefore, it may be necessary to use a nozzle in combination with a cyclone or settling chamber. The remaining question is then: Should the nozzle be placed before or after the cyclone/settling chamber?

   *Before:* This would break apart the agglomerates so the separator would not have to remove as many particles but would also leave the nozzle more susceptible to blockage.
After: Placing the nozzle after the separator would reduce the likelihood of blockage, but would increase the load on the separator.

Note: Because the lines connecting each component will be flexible and have quick release attachments, we will be able to move and interchange the components in the testing phase of this project.

4. Particle Cloud Analyzer:
   We will be using existing SDSU lab equipment for the data acquisition and analysis portion of this project. No design will be necessary.

Final Concept:
The mathematical modeling provided the team with the information needed to design a nozzle for system. Our research has show, thus far, that a spouted bed would produce the most consistent cloud over a range of flow rates. Because the ability to operate under a range of flow rates is such a high priority to this project, the spouted bed is included in this design. Figure 4 shows the complete system design including the fluidized bed.

![Diagram](image.png)

**Fig. 4.** The Preliminary Design for the Carbon particle Disperser.

-Flow Controllers/Monitors (2):

  Flow controller 1 will fluidize the particles.

  Flow controller 2 will adjust the cloud density (particles per volume).

-Spouted Bed: This will disperse carbon particles, to form a rough cloud
- **Cyclone Separator**: This component will remove the larger particle agglomerates.

- **Nozzle Assembly**: The nozzle assembly will break up the smaller agglomerates leaving only particles between 0.2 and 1.0 µm.

- **Particle Cloud Analyzer**: Measures cloud properties/feedback so adjustments can be made with the flow controllers.

**ME490B:**

This system (right) concept was a direct result of a pressure analysis of the system. It was determined that 200 psi would be needed at the beginning of this system to accommodate the supersonic nozzle and the high-pressure solar receiver.

Because high-pressure components are expensive, the decision was made to remove the supersonic nozzle and build a low-pressure system to prove the concept.

The concept, seen to the right is the first low-pressure concept. It should be noted at this point, that this concept stood up to future analysis and remained as the final design for ME490B: Senior Project.
Analysis/Preliminary Testing

Hand Calculations:
The analysis performed in the first semester of this project was based in hand calculations. The nozzle, mentioned in the 490A-design section, was supposed to separate agglomerated particles by generating a shear force between the particles and the nozzle walls.

The following calculations deal with the shear force and Van Der Waals forces in the nozzle:

Equations:

Nozzle equation (conservation of mass):
\[ \rho_0 \cdot A_0 \cdot V_0 = \rho \cdot A \cdot V \]  
\[ \rho = \text{Density} \]
\[ A = \text{Cross-sectional area} \]
\[ V = \text{Flow velocity} \]

Shear force equation (viscous fluid):
\[ F = \mu \cdot A \cdot (du/dy) \]
\[ F = \text{Shear force on particle} \]
\[ \mu = \text{Dynamic viscosity} \]
\[ A = \text{Surface area} \]
\[ du = \text{Velocity} \]
\[ dy = \text{distance from the adjacent surface.} \]

Van Der Waals force between two spherical particles:
\[ F_{vdW} = A \cdot d_1 \cdot d_2 / 6 \cdot D_p^2 (d_1 + d_2) \]
\[ A = \text{Hamaker Constant (about } 10^{-19} \text{ J)} \]
\[ D_p = \text{Distance between particles (surface to surface. About 0.4 nm)} \]
\[ d = \text{Particle diameter (230 nm)} \]
**Nozzle Diameter Calculations:**

First, the force bonding these carbon particles must be estimated. The Van Der Waals equation (eqn. 3) is used. The Hamaker constants are found experimentally, and have been tabulated for many material combinations. Unfortunately, we could not find a value for a carbon-carbon interface. Average values for Hamaker constants are from $10^{-19}$ to $10^{-21}$ Joules. The largest value of these two was used, because it will yield a more conservative estimate for Van Der Waals force.

$d_1$ and $d_2$ are 230 nm, since this is the primary size of the carbon black particles we will be using. 0.4 nm will be used for $D_p$ since research has shown that this is a typical value for spheroid particles. Figure 6 shows a diagram of the distance between the particles.

\[
F_{vdW} = (1\times10^{-19} \text{ J})\times(230\times10^{-9} \text{ m})^2/6\times(0.4\times10^{-9} \text{ m})^2\times2(230\times10^{-9} \text{ m})
\]

\[
F_{vdW} = 1.198 \times 10^{-8} \text{ N}
\]

\[
F_{vdw} = 11.98 \text{ nN}
\]

12 nN is the estimate for the maximum Van Der Waals force between carbon particles in our carbon particle cloud. Therefore, this will also be the minimum shear force needed to separate the carbon agglomerates. To find the nozzle throat diameter needed to break up the agglomerates, we put our result into equation two and solved for the throat diameter. Figure 7 shows a cross sectional view of the nozzle throat with an agglomerated particle passing through it.

\[
11.98 \text{ nN} = F_s = \mu A (du/dy)
\]

\[
\mu = \text{Dynamic Viscosity (1.8 \times 10^{-6} \text{ Pa•s})}
\]

\[
A = \text{Surface area} = \pi d^2 (d_{max} = 1.0 \mu m)
\]

\[
dy \approx y_{avg} = D - 0.7854 \mu m
\]

\[
du = V = \text{constant over particle}
\]

Note: To simplify things, $y_{avg}$ was used for $dy$ and $V$ (a constant velocity) was used for $du$. This assumption means that our particle model is a cylinder of length, $d_{max}$, and radius, 0.7845$\mu$m. The surface area for a sphere is still used however. We are currently refining this model, and hope to have an accurate shear force equation for a sphere in a pipe soon.
LabVIEW

After performing hand calculations, it was determined that the shock wave within the supersonic nozzle would impart more force on agglomerated particles that the shear force would. For this analysis, a complete pressure profile of the system was desired.

A program was created in LabVIEW to calculate all of the important properties in the system based on a few simple input parameters.

![LabVIEW](image)

**Carbon Particle Disperser**

**System Analysis**

**Input:**
- Gas Outlet Properties: 
  - Outlet Pressure (MPa): 750
  - Outlet Temperature (K): 300
  - Volumetric Flow Rate (L/min): 300
- Particle Properties: 
  - Carbon Particle Diameter (m): 2.3e-7
  - Maximum Agglomerate Diameter (m): 1e-6
  - Desired Particle Force Ratio: 0.0 - 20
- Tube Properties: 
  - Tube Diameter (mm): 10
  - Entrance Tube Length (m): 10

**Output:**
- Nozzle Profile
- Nozzle Pressure
- System Pressure (the pressure drop at the right represents the nozzle losses)

![Graphs and Tables](image)

**Fig. 8.** The front panel of the LabVIEW program, showing results for a set of input parameters. Results (Outputs) include a Nozzle Profile, Nozzle Pressure Profile, System Pressure Profile, and several tabulated system properties.

![Block Diagram](image)

**Fig. 9.** The main block diagram for the LabVIEW program. Most of the property solving is performed within the SubVIs seen at the far left. The right 2/3 of the diagram are concerned with displaying the results.
**Design Solution**

The LabVIEW analysis showed that the high-pressure design was feasible. High-pressure components are expensive, however; and it was decided to build a low-pressure system to prove the carbon particle disperser as a concept.

**Prototype Design**

The prototype (left) was machined from acrylic and bonded together with acrylic cement and bolts. A modified compressor pump was used to move air through the recirculation loop. A 35 gallon, compressor was used to force fresh air into the system. 1/2 “ acrylic lines were used to connect the compressor pump to the system. Swagelok fittings were used to attach all plumbing.

Testing was performed on this mockup to determine weather the carbon would fluidize in the upper chamber. All test performed with this rough prototype were visual in nature, meaning no numerical data was recorded.

Result: The prototype was successful in fluidizing the carbon. We determined, from the visual inspection of the cloud produced by the system, that no major changes were necessary in the design.

One recommendation was to acquire a more powerful pump, as the fluidization rate with the modified compressor pump was low. We hypothesized that a higher rate of fluidization would equate to a more consistent, uniform cloud.

![Fig. 10. Carbon Particle Disperser Prototype](image)
Calculations/Verification:
Acrylic is not capable of handling high pressures. The design attempts to keep all pressure in the system to a minimum, however high recirculation rates can cause pressure buildup in the lower chamber.

Because our pumps were donated, not much was known about their flow rates. Calculations were performed to determine the maximum allowable pressure in the lower chamber. A safety factor of 3 was chosen for the system:

Maximum working pressure of a cast acrylic cylinder:

\[
\sigma_t = \frac{pr}{t} \quad p = \frac{\sigma_t t}{r} \quad p_w = \frac{p}{n}
\]

\(\sigma_t\) – tensile hoop stress (5800psi - Matweb)  
\(p\) – pressure  
\(t\) – wall thickness (.125in)  
\(r\) – inside radius (1.75in)  
\(p_w\) – maximum working pressure  
\(n\) – safety factor (assume 3)

\[
p = \frac{(5800\text{psi})(.125\text{in})}{(1.75\text{in})} = 414.3\text{psi}
\]

\[
p_w = \frac{414.3\text{psi}}{3} = 138.1\text{psi}
\]

These results are promising since the maximum pressure produced by our pumps is 150 – 175 psi. Because the pumps are regulated to operate below those pressures, and because the lower chamber is partially open (air must pass through the diffuser plate), the lower chamber should not fail.
Final Design and Test Results

For the final iteration in the system’s design, several improvements were made over the prototype. The final design (right) has a permanent stand, with wheels for easy transportation, a vacuum diaphragm pump, two pressure regulators, ½” stainless steel plumbing, and a quick-connect ¼” air line adapter.

The stand was painted red and black to match SDSUs school colors. The hex bolt/nut connection at the diffuser plate allows for quick disassembly to enhance cleaning, maintenance and transportation.

Fig. 11. Carbon Particle Disperser: Final Design
Description

Upper Chamber:
- Easy to assemble disassemble
- Simple to clean, perform maintenance, and swap parts

Diffuser Plate:
- Interchangeable
- Different hole arrays accommodate various carbons
- Future plates may have small electric motors to enhance fluidization

Recirculation Loop:
- Unique to fluidized beds
- Allows independent control of fluidization and cloud production

Particle Ejection Tube:
- Adjustable height provides some control over cloud density

Fig. 12. Upper Chamber, Diffuser Plate, Recirculation Loop, and Ejection Tube (from top)
Diaphragm Vacuum Pump:

- Gast DAA-V717-GB Diaphragm Pump
- Sealed compression chamber eliminates the possibility of carbon-particle malfunction

Fig. 13. Gast Daa-V717-GB Diaphragm Pump
**Operating Procedure**

1. Make sure all components and equipment are clean and in safe operating condition.
2. Turn all pressure regulators to their off setting (0 psi).
3. Connect the lower chamber—diffuser plate—upper chamber assembly with the six ¼ 20 hex bolts.
4. Fill the upper chamber with a desired amount of carbon black.
5. Put the top end cap onto the upper chamber. Make sure the top end cap is seated snuggly on the upper cylinder.
6. Connect all stainless steel lines to their matching fittings.
7. Before turning on the pump, increase the flow/pressure in the lower pressure regulator slightly (1/2 turn).
8. Plug in the pump (turn on the pump)
   a. If the pump will not start, open the lower pressure valve further.
9. When desired fluidization level is achieved, adjust the height of the ejection tube.
10. Slowly increase the upper pressure regulator until you achieve the desired cloud output.
Conclusions and Recommendations

The initial tests are promising; a sustainable, controllable cloud is produced, which is the major requirement of the project. The consistency and density of the cloud, however, are not yet known. Further testing will determine whether the carbon particle disperser meets these criteria.

Testing Recommendations:

**Extinction Tube:** An extinction tube is a device that measures the extinction coefficient of a substance (usually a gas). The extinction coefficient tells us how much incident light the gas absorbs. The carbon cloud should have a coefficient of $2\text{m}^{-1}$. This means that 98% of the incident light is absorbed within the first 2m of entering the cloud.

**Diesel Particle Spectrometer (DPS):** The DPS allows properties such as particle size, and cloud density to be measured and recorded in real time. This test would give valuable insight into the sustainability.

Further Recommendations:

Ultimately, the carbon particle disperser’s acrylic components should be swapped with steel ones to create the high-pressure system. In the mean time, several things may be done to improve the functionality of the system:

1. Create a system to easily add/remove carbon once the system is permanently cemented together. Currently the stainless tubes are loosely fitted together. Once they are tightly fastened, it will be difficult to remove the top endcap. This will make adding carbon and swapping diffuser plates difficult.
2. Add a pressure relief valve to the lower chamber.
3. Add pressure gages to the lower and upper chamber.
4. Fine tune the carbon particle disperser and upgrade the components to accommodate high-pressure.
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Appendix

Weekly Meeting Reports

Meeting Date and Time:
Thursday September 10th 2009, 3pm

Attendance:
Aron Daria, John Terry, Dr. Miller

Agenda:

• Discuss the assembly the of system components including the spouted bed, nozzle, flow meters, centrifugal blower, number of air inlet ports and their placement.
• Discuss fabrication of the final nozzle and its function relative to producing an oblique shock wave.
• Provide Dr. Miller with fluidized bed text books.
• Discuss fabrication of the spouted bed and specifically how fittings will be welded to the bed housing.
• Discuss whether the bed housing should be cut and secured with a V-band clamp.
• Discuss possibilities for the centrifugal motor, estimated flow rate, and how high pressure fittings will be adapted to it.

Issue List:

- Solved Issues
  • Stress calculations
  • Safety Factors
  • Gas flow rates
  • Particle cloud density
  • Particle injection rate
  • Pressure
  • Extinction coefficient
  • Housing Selection

- Unsolved Issues
  • Bed housing fabrication specifics
  • Blower selection
  • High pressure sealing of the system
  • How fittings will be adapted to the housing

Agenda for next meeting:

• Review fluidized bed textbooks.
• Report on possible suppliers and provide a list of needed components, especially for the blower.
• Discuss experimentally determined flow rate needed for fluidization.
• Discuss funding issues.
• Address nozzle clogging issues, and specific nozzle dimensions.
**Meeting Date and Time:**
Wednesday September 16th 2009, 3pm

**Attendance:**
Aron Daria, John Terry, Dr. Miller

**Agenda:**

- Discuss the assembly the of system components including the spouted bed, nozzle, flow meters, centrifugal blower, number of air inlet ports and their placement.
- Discuss fabrication of the final nozzle and its function relative to producing an oblique shock wave.
- Discuss fluidized bed books and how they can help or design.
- Talk about funding issues.
- Discuss possibilities for the centrifugal motor, estimated flow rate, and how high pressure fittings will be adapted to it.
- Discuss how the flow rate will be calculated for sizing the blower.

**Issue List:**

- **Solved Issues**
  - Stress calculations
  - Safety Factors
  - Gas flow rates
  - Particle cloud density
  - Particle injection rate
  - Pressure
  - Extinction coefficient
  - Housing Selection

- **Unsolved Issues**
  - Bed housing fabrication specifics
  - Blower selection
  - High pressure sealing of the system
  - How fittings will be adapted to the housing
  - How 3/8in lines from the flow controllers will mate with 1/2in steel lines

**Agenda for next meeting:**

- Report on possible suppliers and provide a list of needed components, especially for the blower.
- Address nozzle clogging issues, and specific nozzle dimensions.
**Meeting Date and Time:**
Wednesday September 30th 2009, 3pm

**Attendance:**
Aron Daria, John Terry, Dr. Miller

**Agenda:**

- Discuss the assembly of system components including the spouted bed, nozzle, flow meters, centrifugal blower, number of air inlet ports and their placement.
- Discuss small scale testing results and decide if a plate instead of a nozzle should be used for the fluidized bed.
- Talk about funding issues.
- Discuss possibilities for the centrifugal motor.
- Get codes to the solar lab.
- Discuss concept testing at 0psig.

**Issue List:**

---

**Solved Issues**
- Stress calculations
- Safety Factors
- Gas flow rates
- Particle cloud density
- Particle injection rate
- Pressure
- Extinction coefficient
- Housing Selection

---

**Unsolved Issues**
- Bed housing fabrication specifics
- Blower selection
- High pressure sealing of the system
- How fittings will be adapted to the housing
- How 3/8in lines from the flow controllers will mate with 1/2in steel lines
- Budget Issues

---

**Agenda for next meeting:**

- Budget Issues
- Cheaper concept testing using cast acrylic
**Meeting Date and Time:**
Wednesday October 6\textsuperscript{th} 2009, 3pm

**Attendance:**
Aron Daria, John Terry, Dr. Miller

**Agenda:**

- Discuss budget issues and if the final design should be at 0 psig or at high pressure.
- Talk about funding issues and the possibility of getting more money.
- Discuss possibilities for the centrifugal motor.
- Discuss fluidized bed fabrication using acrylic and specifically how the end caps and plate will be machined.

**Issue List:**

---

**-Solved Issues**

- Stress calculations
- Safety Factors
- Gas flow rates
- Particle cloud density
- Particle injection rate
- Pressure
- Extinction coefficient
- Housing Selection

---

**-Unsolved Issues**

- Bed housing fabrication specifics
- Blower selection
- High pressure sealing of the system
- How fittings will be adapted to the housing
- How 3/8in lines from the flow controllers will mate with 1/2in steel lines
- Budget Issues
- Acrylic fluidized bed fabrication process

---

**Agenda for next meeting:**

- Budget Issues
Meeting Date and Time:
Tuesday October 13th 2009, 3pm

Attendance:
Aron Daria, John Terry, Dr. Miller

Agenda:

• Discuss budget issues and if the final design will include a nozzle.
• Talk about funding issues and the possibility of getting more money.
• Flow rate for the centrifugal motor.
• Start machining and testing parts.

Issue List:
-Solved Issues
• Stress calculations
• Safety Factors
• Gas flow rates
• Particle cloud density
• Particle injection rate
• Pressure
• Extinction coefficient
• Housing Selection
• Bed housing fabrication specifics
• Acrylic fluidized bed fabrication process
• How fittings will be adapted to the housing

-Unsolved Issues
• Blower selection
• High pressure sealing of the system
• How 3/8in lines from the flow controllers will mate with 1/2in steel lines
• Budget Issues
• Agglomerate separation

Agenda for next meeting:

• Budget Issues
• Testing
Meeting Date and Time:
Wednesday October 28th 2009, 4pm

Attendance:
Aron Daria, John Terry, Dr. Miller

Agenda:

• Discuss the results/findings from the initial fluidized bed tests.

• Discuss possibility of using a compressor as a pump/blower.

• Decide what components of the fluidized bed can be permanently bonded together.

• Discussed Solar Lab testing options.

Issue List:

-Solved Issues
  • Stress calculations
  • Safety Factors
  • Gas flow rates
  • Particle cloud density
  • Particle injection rate
  • Pressure
  • Extinction coefficient
  • Housing Selection
  • Bed housing fabrication specifics
  • How fittings will be adapted to the housing
  • Budget Issues
  • Acrylic fluidized bed fabrication process

-Unsolved Issues
  • Blower selection
  • Fluidized Bed Stand

Agenda for next meeting:

• Test Results
**Meeting Date and Time:**
Monday November 2\textsuperscript{nd} 2009, 4pm

**Attendance:**
Aron Daria, John Terry, Dr. Miller

**Agenda:**

- Discuss the results/findings from fluidized bed tests.
- Discuss the new blower and parts needed to fit it into the system.
- Discussed Solar Lab testing options.
- Determine test data can be taken with and without the laser particle scanner.

**Issue List:**

- **Solved Issues**
  - Stress calculations
  - Safety Factors
  - Gas flow rates
  - Particle cloud density
  - Particle injection rate
  - Pressure
  - Extinction coefficient
  - Housing Selection
  - Bed housing fabrication specifics
  - How fittings will be adapted to the housing
  - Budget Issues
  - Acrylic fluidized bed fabrication process

- **Unsolved Issues**
  - Blower selection
  - Fluidized Bed Stand

**Agenda for next meeting:**

- Test Results
Vendors

Carbon:
Asbury Carbons
Asbury Graphite Mills, Inc.
(Asbury, NJ Division)
405 Old Main Street
Asbury, NJ 08802
Phone: 908-537-2155
Fax: 908-537-2908
e-mail: asburyinfo@asbury.com
Contact – Scott Bartolacci

Compression Tube Fittings:
Swagelok
San Diego Fluid System Technologies
6350 Nancy Ridge Drive
Suite 101
San Diego, Ca 92121
Phone: 858-320-4000

Acrylic:
San Diego Plastics Inc.
2220 McKinley Ave.
National City, Ca 91950
Phone: 619-477-4855
Fax: 619-477-4874